A national approach for storage scale-out scenarios based on iRODS

Christine Staiger, Ton Smeele, Rob van Schip





Utrecht University

iRODS User Group Meeting, Utrecht, 14/15 June 2017



- Dutch universities host research data management platforms based on iRODS
- iRODS instances need to grow with the user base and number of projects
- More storage is needed, different storage systems:
 - Cheap storage for archiving, still managed by iRODS
 - Seamless integration of different storage systems
 - \rightarrow How to scale out storage to a national provider such as SURFsara?



• Data replication

- Copy of data at SURFsara
- Permanent copy: Disaster recovery
- Temporary copy: Bring data closer to compute facilities

• Storage scale-out

- Data is only located at SURFsara
- Users work directly on that data through universities' iRODS instances

Technical setup

SURF SARA



- Proof of concept architecture
- Is it feasible?
- How much effort does it cost the universities and SURfsara, can we provide patterns?

C Staiger, T Smeele, R van Schip





First order resource: File systems attached to VM (POSIX)

Compound resource: Archive environment (tape), SWIFT

C Staiger, T Smeele, R van Schip

Storage scale-ou

Technical setup





Proof of concept implementation:

- All storage systems can be made available to iRODS

- Testing the CEPH resource:
 - Usability from work station with Davrods and icommands
 - Performance of data transfers from HPC
- Out of scope: Testing the throughput from cache to archive resource

Tests from a workstation



SURF SARA

Mounting iRODS to workstation icommands Running office applications
Programmatic access C Staiger, T Smeele, R van Schip Storage scale-out iRODS UGM, 14/15 June 2017



SURF

SARA

- Opening ascii, PDF, spreadsheet files
- Manipulating and storing ascii and spreadsheet files
- Response time of iRODS resource slightly slower than local access
- No major differences between Windows and Linux

Test results: icommands

Utrecht University

SURF

SARA



- Tested from workstation
- $\bullet~100~\times~10 \text{MB}$ files in one folder
- Transferred with *iput -r*; no -b option
 - \rightarrow Causes a lot of overhead: setting up connection

Test results: icommands



SURF



- National super computer (orange)
- National compute cluster (blue)
- Difference due to different network environments



• Network configuration

- iCAT and iRODS resource servers need to be addressable with their fully qualified domain name
- $\bullet\,$ Configurations with load balancer in front of iRODS not fully supported $\to\,$ data ports are shielded
- Compound resources
 - Need careful setup
 - Capacity of cache
 - When can data be safely deleted from cache resource
 - Extra monitoring to prevent cache overflows
 - Impact on policies:
 - When can the user assume that data is stored safely on the archive resource?



- Performances and user experience looks acceptable
- Limited network configuration
- Need more tests
 - Test throughput from cache resource to different archive resources
 - Test real-life setting
 - Performance when many users access resources on iRODS resource server
 - iRODS federations as a different means to access storage at another site

Thank you! Questions?